



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/720,086	07/13/2001	En Li	0609.4560002	6968
26111	7590	05/04/2005	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HARRIS, ALANA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/720,086	LI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) Dan Nevriy.

(2) Karen R. Markowitz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3-10,13 and 25-50.


Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
05/03/2005

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives noted that SEQ ID NO: 1-3 have been resequenced and the amendments made of record to the said sequences are correct, however there remains a discrepancy with SEQ ID NO: 3. According to the representatives SEQ ID NO: 3 as filed in the PCT (WO document) and both provisional documents have an additional 50 or so nucleotides that are not contained within the deposited clone. There seems to have been a technical error within the deposited clone. Applicants assert a declaration is forthcoming providing information regarding the resequenced SEQ ID NO: 1-3, deposited clones, and the sequence listing. According to Applicants this information provides evidence substantiating Applicants' request for an earlier priority dates of June 25, 1998 and July 24, 1998 should be granted. The Examiner established the fact the rejections of record and the designated priority date of July 13, 2001 would stand until evidence such as a declaration or an affidavit is of record setting forth the resequenced sequences are in one and of the same as the deposited clones and sequences listed in the priority documents.. .